

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 11/28/2001 P 284170 8435 09/994,659 Manabu Kagami T36-137764M/KOH **EXAMINER** 21254 11/09/2005 7590 MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817 1756

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	:
09/994,659	KAGAMI ET AL	:
Examiner	Art Unit	
Martin J. Angebranndt	1756	:

		Martin J. Angebranndt	1756	•
The MAILIN	G DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess
	October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	:
1. The reply was filed this application, applaces the application.	after a final rejection, but prior to or on oplicant must timely file one of the follow tion in condition for allowance; (2) a Notinued Examination (RCE) in compliance.	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid abar fidavit, or other eviden- compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for re no event, howev Examiner Note:	eply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date eply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A ver, will the statutory period for reply expire a lf box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be have been filed is the date under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is ca set forth in (b) above, if che	OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date for purposes of determining the period of excludated from: (1) the expiration date of the secked. Any reply received by the Office later term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orightan three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the Notice of	eal was filed on A brief in comp Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since
3. The proposed am (a) They raise n (b) They raise the	endment(s) filed after a final rejection, lew issues that would require further cone issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and (d) They presen	t deemed to place the application in bet /or t additional claims without canceling a (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			ne issues for
 4. The amendments 5. Applicant's reply I 6. Newly proposed of 	are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 has overcome the following rejection(s) or amended claim(s) would be all	:·		
how the new or am The status of the c Claim(s) allowed: <u>u</u> Claim(s) objected: Claim(s) rejected:	opeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) nended claims would be rejected is providam(s) is (or will be) as follows: none. to: none. 1-28 and 35-39. In from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
because applicant	er evidence filed after a final action, bu failed to provide a showing of good and sented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> vit or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
entered because the showing a good ar	er evidence filed after the date of filing he affidavit or other evidence failed to on nd sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
REQUEST FOR RECON			•	:
See Continuation				ce because:
13. Other:	d Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	NO(S).	
			Martin J Angebrann Primary Examiner	dt :

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner maintains the position for the reasons of record, reiterating the position of the inherency of the free radical based curing of acrylic/acrylate monomers and cationic curing for epoxy monomers. Noting that there are applicants in common, one of the common applicants is free to swear out a declaration that a testing was performed to determine the mechanism of polymerization, present the results of the testing and state the mechanisms OBSERVED and how they are outside of the coverage set forth in the claims. The applicant's representative also ignores the teachings of Kawabata et al. '494. which specifically discuss acrylates as free radically photocurable species and exposies as cationically photocurable. The direction to the applicants own specification is to emphasize how factually flawed the applicant's representative's arguments are in that they conflict with the applicants own teachings in the specification. The issue of overall ownership is clouded as the applicant asseerts that the applications are not commonly assigned, but Kagami et al. '188 is assigned to Kabushiki Kaisha Toyota Chuo Kenkyusho (see front page), which is the same company as the mailing address used in the oath of the instant application by each of the applicants. The examiner is unsure how to proceed and has kept the options of double patenting rejection and interference proceedings availible. The rejections stand.

11/3/05